

Evaluation results

Core course “Paleoclimate” (October-November, 2014)

Course details

The module will provide the basic knowledge to understand how the earth system including continents, ocean currents, atmospheric circulations and life evolved and how the system is acting as a self controlled feedback system. It will demonstrate which climatic archives can be used to reconstruct palaeoclimatic information focusing on continental archives. It will provide basic knowledge to review existing datasets and interpretations. The module will consist of lectures to cover the background material and theory, lab exercises in modeling, and field techniques. Detailed information is provided on the following webpage: <http://www.imprs-gbgc.de/index.php/Internal/PaleoCourse2014>

7 out of 8 participants filled in the survey by Dec 19, 2014.

Survey results

Please assess the course in general.

The course stimulated my interest in this topic.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 86% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree

The subject matters fit my level of knowledge.

- 14% Disagree
- 29% Neither agree nor disagree
- 57% Agree
- 0% Strongly agree

I think that the level of difficulty of this course is appropriate.

- 0% Disagree
- 29% Neither agree nor disagree
- 43% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree

I would recommend this course to others.

- 0% Disagree
- 14% Neither agree nor disagree
- 43% Agree
- 43% Strongly agree

Overall, I am satisfied with this course.

- 0% Disagree
- 29% Neither agree nor disagree
- 29% Agree
- 42% Strongly agree

Comments

It is a core course so it should cover from basics to intermediate/advanced levels, and considering that this course was well structured. But in realistic, there was only one student working on Paleoclimate and all the others were not very familiar with the topic and it was too much detailed information sometimes.

Please assess the knowledge you have gained.

I obtained an overview about the topic.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 71% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree

Overall, I my knowledge improved significantly through this course.

- 0% Disagree
- 14% Neither agree nor disagree
- 43% Agree
- 43% Strongly agree

Comment

As my research area is different from the ones of the lectures, I found the course very informative.

Please assess the general conditions for this course.

I am satisfied with the facilities, the equipment and the time frame of the course.

- 29% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 57% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree

Availability and quality of the course materials is satisfying.

- 0% Disagree
- 29% Neither agree nor disagree
- 57% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree

Overall, I am satisfied with the general conditions of this course.

- 0% Disagree
- 29% Neither agree nor disagree
- 43% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree

Comments

The course schedule was not good. If it has to take place at three different locations, it could have been arranged "place 1, 2 days in a row", "place2, 3 days in a row", "place 3, 2 days in a row" for example.

It was a good motivation to do research in this area. All in all i liked this course and level of knowledge was sufficient.

Dates of the course where to much spead over time and were shifted after registration. This was bad for planing own work and taking part at the courses.

Please evaluate the first module by Peter Frenzel

The context of the lectures was clear to me (connexion to overarching topic of the course, embeddedness in general course structure).

0% Disagree

0% Neither agree nor disagree

43% Agree

29% Strongly agree

29% No answer

To follow this module, my previous knowledge was ...

29% To low

43% Adequate

0% To high

29% No answer

The level of detail of the lectures was ...

14% To low

29% Adequate

29% To high

29% No answer

Peter Frenzel clearly communicated objectives and course structure.

0% Disagree

0% Neither agree nor disagree

57% Agree

14% Strongly agree

29% No answer

Peter Frenzel divides the class time meaningfully (lecture, presentations, discussion, clarifying questions, ...)

0% Disagree

0% Neither agree nor disagree

29% Agree

43% Strongly agree

29% No answer

Peter Frenzel creates a stimulating work atmosphere.

0% Disagree

0% Neither agree nor disagree

29% Agree

43% Strongly agree

29% No answer

Peter Frenzel prepares the single class sessions adequately.

0% Disagree

0% Neither agree nor disagree

43% Agree

29% Strongly agree

29% No answer

Peter Frenzel shows how each topic fits into the course as a whole.

14% Disagree

0% Neither agree nor disagree

43% Agree

14% Strongly agree

29% No answer

Overall, I am satisfied with the contribution of the instructor to the course.

0% Disagree

0% Neither agree nor disagree

57% Agree

14% Strongly agree

29% No answer

Please evaluate the second module by Michael Pirrung

The context of the lectures was clear to me (connexion to overarching topic of the course, embeddedness in general course structure).

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 72% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

To follow this module, my previous knowledge was ...

- 14% To low
- 57% Adequate
- 14% To high
- 14% No answer

The level of detail of the lectures was ...

- 0% To low
- 71% Adequate
- 0% To high
- 29% No answer

Michael Pirrung clearly communicated objectives and course structure.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 71% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

Michael Pirrung divides the class time meaningfully (lecture, presentations, discussion, clarifying questions, ...)

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 71% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

Michael Pirrung creates a stimulating work atmosphere.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 71% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

Michael Pirrung prepares the single class sessions adequately.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 57% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

Michael Pirrung shows how each topic fits into the course as a whole.

- 0% Disagree
- 14% Neither agree nor disagree
- 57% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

Overall, I am satisfied with the contribution of the instructor to the course.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 71% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

Please evaluate the third module by Heike Schneider

The context of the lectures was clear to me (connexion to overarching topic of the course, embeddedness in general course structure).

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 100% Agree
- 0% Strongly agree
- 0% No answer

To follow this module, my previous knowledge was ...

- 29% To low
- 71% Adequate
- 0% To high
- 0% No answer

The level of detail of the lectures was ...

- 14% To low
- 71% Adequate
- 14% To high
- 0% No answer

Heike Schneider clearly communicated objectives and course structure.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 71% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 0% No answer

Heike Schneider divides the class time meaningfully (lecture, presentations, discussion, clarifying questions, ...)

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 71% Agree
- 59% Strongly agree
- 0% No answer

Heike Schneider creates a stimulating work atmosphere.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 71% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 0% No answer

Heike Schneider prepares the single class sessions adequately.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 71% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 0% No answer

Heike Schneider shows how each topic fits into the course as a whole.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 57% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

Overall, I am satisfied with the contribution of the instructor to the course.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 71% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 0% No answer

Please evaluate the fourth module by Roman Witt, Franziska Günther & Valerié Schwab-Lavrič

The context of the lectures was clear to me (connexion to overarching topic of the course, embeddedness in general course structure).

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 71% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 0% No answer

To follow this module, my previous knowledge was ...

- 0% To low
- 86% Adequate
- 14% To high
- 0% No answer

The level of detail of the lectures was ...

- 0% To low
- 86% Adequate
- 14% To high
- 0% No answer

The instructors clearly communicated objectives and course structure.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 57% Agree
- 43% Strongly agree
- 0% No answer

The instructors divides the class time meaningfully (lecture, presentations, discussion, clarifying questions, ...)

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 71% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 0% No answer

The instructors creates a stimulating work atmosphere.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 57% Agree
- 43% Strongly agree
- 0% No answer

The instructors prepares the single class sessions adequately.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 71% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 0% No answer

The instructors shows how each topic fits into the course as a whole.

- 0% Disagree
- 29% Neither agree nor disagree
- 43% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 0% No answer

Overall, I am satisfied with the contribution of the instructor to the course.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 57% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

Please evaluate the fifth module by Reinhard Gaupp

The context of the lectures was clear to me (connexion to overarching topic of the course, embeddedness in general course structure).

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 43% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 29% No answer

To follow this module, my previous knowledge was ...

- 14% To low
- 29% Adequate
- 29% To high
- 29% No answer

The level of detail of the lectures was ...

- 14% To low
- 57% Adequate
- 0% To high
- 29% No answer

Reinhard Gaupp clearly communicated objectives and course structure.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 43% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 29% No answer

Reinhard Gaupp divides the class time meaningfully (lecture, presentations, discussion, clarifying questions, ...)

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 57% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 29% No answer

Reinhard Gaupp creates a stimulating work atmosphere.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 57% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 29% No answer

Reinhard Gaupp prepares the single class sessions adequately.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 57% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 29% No answer

Reinhard Gaupp shows how each topic fits into the course as a whole.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 43% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 43% No answer

Overall, I am satisfied with the contribution of the instructor to the course.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 43% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 29% No answer

Please evaluate the sixth module by Thomas Kasper

The context of the lectures was clear to me (connexion to overarching topic of the course, embeddedness in general course structure).

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 86% Agree
- 0% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

To follow this module, my previous knowledge was ...

- 14% To low
- 57% Adequate
- 14% To high
- 14% No answer

The level of detail of the lectures was ...

- 14% To low
- 57% Adequate
- 14% To high
- 14% No answer

Thomas Kasper clearly communicated objectives and course structure.

- 0% Disagree
- 14% Neither agree nor disagree
- 72% Agree
- 0% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

Thomas Kasper divides the class time meaningfully (lecture, presentations, discussion, clarifying questions, ...)

- 0% Disagree
- 14% Neither agree nor disagree
- 58% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

Thomas Kasper creates a stimulating work atmosphere.

- 14% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 58% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

Thomas Kasper prepares the single class sessions adequately.

- 14% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 58% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

Thomas Kasper shows how each topic fits into the course as a whole.

- 0% Disagree
- 14% Neither agree nor disagree
- 58% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

Overall, I am satisfied with the contribution of the instructor to the course.

- 0% Disagree
- 14% Neither agree nor disagree
- 58% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

Please evaluate the contribution from the participants.

The participants

... attended the course regularly.

- 0% Disagree
- 14% Neither agree nor disagree
- 58% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

... prepare for the single class sessions adequately.

- 0% Disagree
- 29% Neither agree nor disagree
- 43% Agree
- 14% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

... actively take part in the course, if possible.

- 0% Disagree
- 0% Neither agree nor disagree
- 43% Agree
- 43% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

... follow the course attentively and with interest.

- 0% Disagree
- 14% Neither agree nor disagree
- 43% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer

Overall, I am satisfied with the behavior of most of the participants.

- 0% Disagree
- 14% Neither agree nor disagree
- 43% Agree
- 29% Strongly agree
- 14% No answer